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#### Abstract

In this paper, we use a complete pivoting strategy for the left-looking version of RIF preconditioner and study effect of this pivoting.


## 1. Introduction

Consider the linear system of equations of the form $A x=b$ where the coefficient matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is nonsingular, large, sparse and nonsymmetric and also $x, b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Krylov subspace methods can be used to solve this system [3]. An implicit preconditioner $M$ for this system is an approximation of matrix $A$, i.e., $M \approx A$. This preconditioner can be used as the right preconditioner for this system. In this case, instead of solving the original system $A x=b$, it is better to solve the right preconditioned system $A M^{-1} u=b$, where $x=M^{-1} u$, by the Krylov subspace methods. ILU preconditioners are examples of implicit preconditioners. These type of preconditioners are in the form of $M=L D U$ where $L$ and $U^{T}$ are unit lower triangular matrices and
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$D$ is a diagonal matrix. In this paper, we propose pivoting for the left-looking version of RIF which is an implicit preconditioner.

## 2. COMPLETE PIVOTING STRATEGY FOR THE LEFT-LOOKING VERSION OF RIF PRECONDITIONER

Suppose that no dropping is applied in Algorithm 1. Also suppose that $\Pi_{k}$ and $\Sigma_{k}$, for $1 \leq k \leq i-1$, are the computed row and column permutation matrices at steps 1 to $i-1$ of this algorithm. At the beginning of step $i$ of this algorithm, at first, lines 2 and 3 are set. Then, the internal while loop is run and in line 6 the parameter iter is set equal to iter +1 . After that, vector $z_{i}^{(i-1)}$ is computed in lines 7-11. The pivot element $q_{i}^{(i-1)}$ is computed in line 12. The essential relation $q_{j}^{(i-1)}=e_{j}^{T}(\Pi A \Sigma) z_{i}^{(i-1)}$, for $j \geq i+1$, gives the chance to compute the vector $\left(q_{i+1}^{(i-1)}, \cdots, q_{n}^{(i-1)}\right)$ in lines 13-15. This vector is used to apply the row pivoting strategy in lines $16-22$ and matrix $\Pi$ is updated. Since the balance of the column pivoting has been ruined, then satisfied_p is set to false in line 18. After the row pivoting, satisfied_q is set to true in line 23. Next, vector $w_{i}^{(i-1)}$ is computed in lines 26-30. In line 31 , the pivot element $p_{i}^{(i-1)}$ is set equal to $q_{i}^{(i-1)}$. The key relation $p_{j}^{(i-1)}=\left(w_{i}^{(i-1)}\right)^{T}(\Pi A \Sigma) e_{j}$, for $j \geq i+1$, is used to compute the vector $\left(p_{i+1}^{(i-1)}, \cdots, p_{n}^{(i-1)}\right)$ in lines 32-34. After that, the column pivoting strategy is applied in lines $35-41$ and matrix $\Sigma$ is updated. Since the balance of the row pivoting has been ruined, then satisfied_q is set to false in line 37. After the column pivoting, satisfied_p should be set equal to true in line 42 and the algorithm will alternate between the row and the column pivoting until the desired pivot element will be computed. After the internal while loop of Algorithm 1, the $i$-th row and the $i$-th column of matrices $L$ and $U$ are computed and dropped in lines 45-48. After that, element $q_{i}^{(i-1)}$ is defined as the $(i, i)$ entry of matrix $D$, i.e., $d_{i i}$. At the end of step $n$ of Algorithm 1, the factorization $\Pi A \Sigma \approx M=L D U$ will be computed where $\Pi=\Pi_{n-1} \cdots \Pi_{1}$ and $\Sigma=\Sigma_{1} \cdots \Sigma_{n-1}$. Matrix $M$ is termed the left-looking version of RIF preconditioner with complete pivoting.

## 3. Numerical Results

In this section, we report the results of $G M R E S(30)$ method to solve the original and the right preconditioned linear systems. Preconditioners are left-looking RIF with and without pivoting. In Table 1, notation LLRIF is used for the left-looking version of RIF and $\operatorname{LLRIFP}(1.0)$ indicates the left-looking version of RIF with pivoting

```
Algorithm 1
    Input: Let \(A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, U=L=I_{n}, \Pi=\Sigma=I_{n}, \tau_{w}, \tau_{z}, \tau_{l}, \tau_{u} \in(0,1)\) be drop tolerances and
                prescribe a tolerance \(\alpha \in(0,1]\).
            Output: \(\Pi A \Sigma \approx L D U\).
    for \(i=1\) to \(n\) do
    \(m_{i}=n_{i}=0\), iter \(=0\)
    satisfied_ \(p=\) satisfied_ \(q=\) false
    while not satisfied_ \(q\) do
        \(z_{i}^{(0)}=e_{i}\)
        iter \(=\) iter +1
        for \(j=1\) to \(i-1\) do
            \(p_{i}^{(j-1)}=e_{j}^{T}(\Pi A \Sigma) z_{i}^{(j-1)}\)
            \(z_{i}^{(j)}=z_{i}^{(j-1)}-\left(\frac{p_{i}^{(j-1)}}{p_{j}^{(j-1)}}\right) z_{j}^{(j-1)}\)
            for all \(l \leq j\), if \(\left|z_{l i}^{(j)}\right|<\tau_{z}\), then set \(z_{l i}^{(j)}=0\)
        end for
        If iter \(=1\), then set \(q_{i}^{(i-1)}=e_{i}^{T}(\Pi A \Sigma) z_{i}^{(i-1)}\). Otherwise set \(q_{i}^{(i-1)}=p_{i}^{(i-1)}\)
        for \(j=i+1\) to \(n\) do
            \(q_{j}^{(i-1)}=e_{j}^{T}(\Pi A \Sigma) z_{i}^{(i-1)}\)
        end for
        if \(\left|q_{i}^{(i-1)}\right|<\alpha \max _{m \geq i+1}\left|q_{m}^{(i-1)}\right|\) then
            \(m_{i}=m_{i}+1, \pi_{m_{i}}^{(i-1)}=I_{n}\).
            satisfied_ \(p=\) false
            choose \(k\) such that \(\left|q_{k}^{(i-1)}\right|=\max _{m \geq i+1}\left|q_{m}^{(i-1)}\right|\).
            Interchange rows \(i\) and \(k\) of \(\pi_{m_{i}}^{(i-1)}\) and elements \(q_{i}^{(i-1)}\) and \(q_{k}^{(i-1)}\)
            \(\Pi=\pi_{m_{i}}^{(i-1)} \Pi\)
        end if
        satisfied_ \(q=\) true
        if not satisfied_ \(p\) then
            \(w_{i}^{(0)}=e_{i}\)
            for \(j=1\) to \(i-1\) do
                \(q_{i}^{(j-1)}=\left(w_{i}^{(j-1)}\right)^{T}(\Pi A \Sigma) e_{j}\)
                \(w_{i}^{(j)}=w_{i}^{(j-1)}-\left(\frac{q_{i}^{(j-1)}}{q_{j}^{(j-1)}}\right) w_{j}^{(j-1)}\)
                for all \(l \leq j\), if \(\left|w_{l i}^{(j)}\right|<\tau_{w}\), then set \(w_{l i}^{(j)}=0\)
            end for
            \(p_{i}^{(i-1)}=q_{i}^{(i-1)}\)
            for \(j=i+1\) to \(n\) do
                    \(p_{j}^{(i-1)}=\left(w_{i}^{(i-1)}\right)^{T}(\Pi A \Sigma) e_{j}\)
            end for
            if \(\left|p_{i}^{(i-1)}\right|<\alpha \max _{m \geq i+1}\left|p_{m}^{(i-1)}\right|\) then
                \(n_{i}=n_{i}+1, \sigma_{n_{i}}^{(i-1)}=I_{n}\)
                    satisfied_ \(q=\) false
                    choose \(l\) such that \(\left|p_{l}^{(i-1)}\right|=\max _{m \geq i+1}\left|p_{m}^{(i-1)}\right|\)
                Interchange columns \(i\) and \(l\) of \(\sigma_{n_{i}}^{(i-1)}\) and elements \(p_{i}^{(i-1)}\) and \(p_{l}^{(i-1)}\)
                    \(\Sigma=\Sigma \sigma_{n_{i}}^{(i-1)}\)
            end if
            satisfied_ \(p=\) true
        end if
    end while
    for \(j=1\) to \(i-1\) do
        \(L_{i j}=\frac{q_{i}^{(j-1)}}{q_{j}^{(j-1)}}, U_{j i}=\frac{p_{i}^{(j-1)}}{p_{j}^{(j-1)}}\)
        If \(\left|L_{i j}\right|<\tau_{l}\), then set \(L_{i j}=0\). Also if \(\left|U_{j i}\right|<\tau_{u}\), then set \(U_{j i}=0\).
        end for
        \(d_{i i}=q_{i}^{(i-1)}\)
    end for
    Return \(L=\left(L_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, U=\left(U_{i j}\right)_{12 \sum_{i} \underline{E}_{n}}, D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{i i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}, \Pi\) and \(\Sigma\).
```

Table 1.

|  | properties |  | No preconditioning |  | LLRIFP $(1.0)$ |  |  | LLRIF |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n$ | nnz | it | Itime | density | it | Ttime | density | it | Ttime |
| fpga_dcop_12 | 1220 | 5892 | + | + | 1.842 | 45 | 0.078 | 0.9628 | 79 | 0.062 |
| raefsky6 | 3402 | 137845 | 1353 | 5.72 | 0.995 | 5 | 0.484 | 0.274 | 7 | 0.406 |
| sherman4 | 1104 | 3786 | 558 | 0.36 | 1.802 | 26 | 0.031 | 1.239 | 46 | 0.031 |
| fpga_dcop_14 | 1220 | 5892 | + | + | 1.813 | 77 | 0.093 | 0.954 | 1144 | 1.296 |
| epb3 | 84617 | 463625 | + | + | 1.560 | 207 | 20.43 | 1.005 | 316 | 24.312 |
| poisson3Da | 13514 | 352762 | 261 | 3.50 | 2.863 | 60 | 5.390 | 0.337 | 130 | 3.765 |

that uses $\alpha=1.0$ for the row and the column pivoting. We have considered 6 linear systems with the coefficient matrices from reference [2]. The right hand side vector of these systems is $A e$ where $e=[1, \cdots, 1]^{T}$. The code of left-looking version of RIF with pivoting is written in Fortran 77 and the codes of GMRES and left-looking version of RIF are downloaded from the Sparskit [4] and Sparslab [1] packages. In all the tests, parameters $\tau_{w}, \tau_{z}, \tau_{l}$ and $\tau_{u}$ are set equal to 0.1. In Table $1, n$ is the dimension and $n n z$ is the number of nonzero entries of the matrix. In columns 4 and 5 of this table, it and Itime are the number of iterations and the iteration time of $\operatorname{GMRES}(30)$ method with no preconditioning. In columns 7 and 10 and in columns 8 and 11 of this table, it is the number of iterations and Ttime is the total time of $G M R E S(30)$ method that solves the right preconditioned linear systems. For all the tests of this table, the convergence criterion is satisfied when the relative residual is less than $10^{-8}$. A + sign indicates that this criterion has not been satisfied in 5000 number of iterations. The parameter density in this table is defined as density $=\frac{n n z(L)+n n z(U)}{n n z(A)}$ where $n n z(L)$ and $n n z(U)$ are the number of nonzero entries of $L$ and $U$ factors. Numerical experiments indicate that for all matrices, $\operatorname{LLRIFP}(1.0)$ is denser than $\operatorname{LLRIF}$. The results also indicate that $\operatorname{LLRIFP}(1.0)$ makes the $G M R E S(30)$ method converge in less number of iterations than LLRIF.
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